DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 336 437 UD 028 085

AUTHOR Anderson, Charles
TITLE ECIA Chapter 1. Target Area Selection for 1990-1991. Report No. 90-92--Revised.
INSTITUTION Seattle Public Schools, Wash. Dept. of Management Information Services.
PUB DATE Apr 90
NOTE 8p.
PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS Compensatory Education; *Disadvantaged Schools; Disadvantaged Youth; Elementary Secondary Education; *Eligibility; *Evaluation Criteria; *Federal Aid; Low Achievement; Low Income; Lunch Programs; *Remedial Instruction
IDENTIFIERS *Education Consolidation Improvement Act Chapter 1; *Seattle Public Schools WA

ABSTRACT

The Seattle (Washington) Public Schools receive Education Consolidation and Improvement Act (ECIA) Chapter 1 funds annually for remedial instruction of low-achieving students in economically disadvantaged areas. To obtain these funds, the district must determine which of its schools are target areas; that is, which schools have students with family income levels below the citywide average for their respective grade spans. This document reports the results of this selection process for 1990-1991. To determine which schools meet low-income criteria in accordance with ECIA requirements, the Seattle Public Schools use the following procedures: (1) all students, except preschool and kindergarten students, enrolled in a Seattle public school offering a lunch program are aggregated by their attended school; (2) target schools are selected on the basis of the percentage of students enrolled in each school who are eligible for free or reduced-price lunches; and (3) schools are selected only if their percentage of eligible students is greater than the average for schools of a particular level: elementary, middle, or secondary. Thirty-seven of the 70 elementary schools, 11 of the 23 middle schools, and nine of the 22 high schools in the Seattle system were found to be eligible for ECIA Chapter 1 funds and services. Three tables of statistical data are included. (AF)

****
* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *
****

ED336437

MANAGEMENT INFORMATION PAPER

SEATTLE PUBLIC SCHOOLS
815 Fourth Avenue North
Seattle, Washington 98109

ECIA CHAPTER I

TARGET AREA SELECTION FOR 1990-1991

REVISED - REPORT NO. 90-2
April 1990

CHARLES ANDERSON

William M. Kendrick
Superintendent

Student Assignment Services

Larry Matsuda, Executive Director

Management Information Services

George Sanders, Manager

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
Blanket Level 1
Seattle PS
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
X This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

ECIA CHAPTER I TARGET AREA SELECTION

Each year the Seattle Public Schools receives ECIA Chapter I funds for remedial instruction of low achieving students in economically disadvantaged areas. To obtain these funds the district must determine which of its schools are target areas. That is, which schools have students with family income levels below the city-wide average for their respective grade span - elementary, middle, and high school. The results of this Target Area Selection process are reported in this document.

The Seattle Public Schools use the following procedures to determine which schools meet low income criteria in accordance with ECIA requirements:

1. All students, except preschool, half-day, and full-day kindergarten students, enrolled in a Seattle Public School offering a lunch program were aggregated by their attending school. This procedure is different from past years in which students were aggregated by their neighborhood school attendance area or paired or triaded schools were combined into one common attendance area.

2. Target schools were selected on the basis of the percentage of students enrolled in each school who were eligible for free or reduced-price lunches. Schools are selected only if their percentage of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch was greater than the average of the grade span group.

3. This report is produced with data as of April 23, 1990.

RESULTS

At the time this report was completed, of the 37,542 students in the Seattle Public Schools who have access to a lunch program, 14,074, or 37.5 percent, were participating in the free or reduced-price lunch program. The following four tables show the results necessary to determine ECIA Chapter 1 eligibility.

Table 1 shows the school enrollment and number and percentage of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunches in each elementary school and elementary grade level alternative school. The schools in this table are rank ordered starting with the highest percentage of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunches. The overall percentage of elementary students who are eligible for free or reduced-price lunches is 45.4. Thirty-seven of the seventy elementary grade level schools have a greater percentage than the grade span average and are consequently eligible for Chapter I funds and services.

Table 2 reports similar figures for middle schools and middle school grade leve alternative schools. The overall percentage of students participating in the free or reduced-price lunch program is 42.5. Eleven of the twenty-three schools in this group are eligible. At the regular and alternative high schools, as shown in Table 3, nine out of the twenty-two schools are eligible for free or reduced-price lunch. The average was 28.7 percent.

Table 1
Number and Percentage of Students Eligible
For Free or Reduced-Price Lunch by Elementary School
1990-1991 School Year

School	School Enrollment	Number FRPL	Percent FRPL
Lawton	204	90	44.12%
Bagley	207	90	43.48%
Green Lake	273	118	43.22%
Olympic Hills	260	111	42.69%
Interagency Detention Center	19	8	42.11%
Orca	158	66	41.77%
Kimball	430	179	41.63%
Bryant	310	128	41.29%
Northgate	215	87	40.47%
Maple	365	145	39.73%
Mc Graw School	16	6	37.50%
Coe	378	140	37.04%
Broadview-Thomson *	278	102	36.69%
Rogers	248	88	35.48%
Whittier	242	84	34.71%
Madrona	418	144	34.45%
Lafayette	382	130	34.03%
Olympic View	364	123	33.79%
Schmitz Park	281	94	33.45%
Loyal Heights	312	102	32.69%
Ryther Center	10	3	30.00%
Summit K-12 Alternative School	240	72	30.00%
Laurelhurst	311	93	29.90%
Hay	330	96	29.09%
Latona	265	77	29.06%
Blaine	355	99	27.89%
View Ridge	410	110	26.83%
Sacajawea	244	65	26.64%
Stevens	328	87	26.52%
Montlake	210	52	24.76%
North Beach	208	51	24.52%
Wedgwood	290	71	24.48%
Elementary BOC - Gatzert	54	13	24.07%
AES #2	207	29	14.01%
Total	**17,765**	**8,120**	**45.71%**

* Eligible for Chapter I

Table 1
Number and Percentage of Students Eligible
For Free or Reduced-Price Lunch by Elementary School
1990-1991 School Year

School	School Enrollment	Number FRPL	Percent FRPL
* High Point	320	262	81.88%
* Van Asselt	299	234	78.26%
* Beacon Hill	203	155	76.35%
* Elementary BOC - Arbor Heights	71	54	76.06%
* Gatzert	247	181	73.28%
* Dunlap	205	150	73.17%
* Day	241	174	72.20%
* Cooper	379	273	72.03%
* Concord	234	164	70.09%
* Wing Luke	211	143	67.77%
* Minor	245	166	67.76%
* Brighton	171	115	67.25%
* Martin Luther King	104	68	65.38%
* Lowell	221	131	59.28%
* Hawthorne	303	170	56.11%
* Roxhill	249	138	55.42%
* Leschi	306	169	55.23%
* Greenwood	289	158	54.67%
* Alki	258	141	54.65%
* Colman	123	67	54.47%
* Dearborn Park	182	97	53.30%
* West Woodland	404	214	52.97%
* Viewlands	397	200	50.38%
* Rainier View	185	92	49.73%
* Gatewood	199	98	49.25%
* Sanislo	283	137	48.41%
* Graham Hill	211	102	48.34%
* Muir	222	107	48.20%
* Adams	385	184	47.79%
* AS #1	66	31	46.97%
* Arbor Heights	197	92	46.70%
* Fairmount Park	281	131	46.62%
* Highland Park	370	172	46.49%
Whitworth	408	185	45.34%
Emerson	280	126	45.00%
Mc Gilvra	194	86	44.33%

* Eligible for Chapter I

Table 2
Number and Percentage of Students Eligible
For Free or Reduced-Price Lunch by Middle School
1990-1991 School Year

School	School Enrollment	Number FRPL	Percent FRPL
* Sharples Alternative Secondary	4	3	75.00%
* Bilingual Orientation Center-Meany	54	38	70.37%
* American Indian Heritage	35	23	65.71%
* Interagency Detention Center	118	69	58.47%
* Denny	780	434	55.64%
* Mc Graw School	18	10	55.56%
* Opportunity Industry Center	2	1	50.00%
* South Shore	692	344	49.71%
* Mercer	902	414	45.90%
* Madison	790	350	44.30%
* AS #1	39	17	43.59%
Middle School Re-entry Program	22	9	40.91%
Special Contract	5	2	40.00%
Hamilton	745	253	33.96%
Mc Clure	654	208	31.80%
Eckstein	997	315	31.59%
Washington	760	235	30.92%
Meany	619	188	30.37%
Whitman	1020	295	28.92%
Summit K-12 Alternative School	207	48	23.19%
Ryther Center	10	1	10.00%
Mc Graw Res Prog	3	0	0.00%
Hutch School	16	0	0.00%
Total	**5,857**	**2,490**	**42.51%**

* Eligible for Chapter 1

Table 3
Number and Percentage of Students Eligible
For Free or Reduced-Price Lunch by High School
1990-1991 School Year

School	School Enrollment	Number FRPL	Percent FRPL
* BOC-Cleveland	102	87	85.29%
* Middle College High School	87	57	65.52%
* Sharples Alternative Secondary	152	84	55.26%
* American Indian Heritage	45	19	42.22%
* Interagency Detention Center	248	103	41.53%
* Sealth	880	347	39.43%
* West Seattle	828	271	32.73%
* Rainier Beach	725	229	31.59%
* Cleveland	693	214	30.88%
Summit K-12 Alternative School	148	41	27.70%
Nathan Hale	885	239	27.01%
Ballard	1096	248	22.63%
Work Train & Evaluation	23	5	21.74%
Marshall Alternative Secondary	333	69	20.72%
Ingraham	1129	226	20.02%
Opportunity Industry Center	5	1	20.00%
Franklin	1121	173	15.43%
Evening School	70	10	14.29%
Roosevelt	1282	175	13.65%
Mc Graw School	8	1	12.50%
Garfield	1149	89	7.75%
Nova	119	9	7.56%
Total	**8,570**	**2,423**	**28.27%**

* Eligible for Chapter 1